

Do you currently use the towpath between Bathampton and Sydney Gardens? (if no, please go to Q3)

In what ways do you use the towpath? (Tick all that apply)

Response	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes		Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes		Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes				
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
No			Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes		Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	

Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			

Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
No	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes			Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			

Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
No				
Yes	Walking			
Yes				
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			

Yes			Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes			Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	

No				
Yes	Walking		Cycling Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
No				
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
No				
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		Canal boat user
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		

Yes	Walking			
Yes				Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	Canal boat user
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		
Yes	Walking			
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
No				
Yes				
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking	Cycling	
Yes			Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking		Cycling	
Yes	Walking	Dog walking		

Do you agree that the current towpath surface should be improved*? (*please note that grant funding has been awarded for improvement work and cannot be used for any other purpose)

Angler

Other (please specify)

Response

No
Yes
Yes
Yes

No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

No
Yes

No

Commuting

Yes
No
No
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running

No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running
Running

No
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running
running

No
Yes
Yes
Yes

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Runner
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
No
Yes

No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
No

Running
Running
Running
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running
?
No
No
No

Running
Yes
Feeding ducks with family

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
No
Yes
No
No
Yes

Runner
Yes
No
No
Yes
Yes

Running
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

I own and live on the | Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Running
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Angler

No
No
Yes
No
No
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Running
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

From the surface materials identified, which would you prefer?	Do you consider the proposals will make it:
Response	Response
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	About the same
	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same

Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
	About the same

Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
	About the same
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians

Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	About the same
Stone chipped surface	
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians

Stone chipped surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	
many runners!!)	
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	About the same
	Worse for pedestrians

Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	
Stone chipped surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Worse for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Worse for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	About the same
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Bituminous surface (blacktop)	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Better for pedestrians
Resin bound surface	Better for pedestrians
Stone chipped surface	Worse for pedestrians

Your comments

A current problem is poor drainage and puddles/excess water. A particular problem for pedestrians. The path suffers from poor drainage leaving large puddles and making it muddy after rain. At various points resolved. Walked along towpath recently after days of rain and the many puddles, of varying depths, made it difficult to walk. No puddles. Cyclists and pedestrians will have more room.

Unless you provide PHYSICAL speed restrictions it will become very dangerous.

Any improvement will mean cyclists and pedestrians will benefit mutually. Not having to walk/run around puddles

If the surface improvement allows cyclists to go faster it makes it worse for pedestrians.

As long as the improvement does not encourage cyclists to ride faster and with less appreciation of the path

Speeding cyclists must be made aware that this is a shared path otherwise it could become more dangerous. Use of bitumen and resin would make it worse for walkers because of the speed and hazard presented by it. The present surface is most natural. Best to improve it if it would encourage more cyclists who can be a nuisance. Would prefer crushed stone surface. I feel that improvements should be as minimal as possible to protect the path. You will always get idiots and inconsiderate people regardless of what mode of transport they use. Unfortunately, I don't like any of the surfaces and say a sandy finish would be more natural. This will make some cyclists angry. Requests for crushed stone

Very poor surface in winter blocked by puddles

Already there are significant safety and harassment issues arising from those cyclists that feel they have priority. Does it really need doing?

It's a rural setting that a bituminous surface would ruin, it would also produce contaminants into the canal water

Much better for those in wheelchairs and those pushing buggies and prams

A better (or better-maintained) loose chipping surface is needed to prevent the path becoming too muddy

Re Qu3, improvements should include chicanes, speed bumps, staggered barriers, to force cyclists to slow down. Better for wheelchair users too - this is really important, don't forget them. I want to be able to use the towpath

The improvements will make more path width available for use, which will reduce conflict between different users. As long as there are speed bumps for speeding cyclists. Now would be a prime opportunity to address it

If any money available please improve steps up from end of the path Grosvenor Bridge Road - up to canal. Slightly wider would help everyone. Better surface will help riders avoid cyclists

Will urbanise the experience unnecessarily.

This depends on having successfully a culture of sharing can be established. Signage at all entry points

Will increase risk of conflict between different users. I would prefer the repair of the towpath as it exists.

The main thing is NOT a hardened surface but a useable surface. It will be less muddy and more like 'horse dung'. Cyclists will increase their speed making a less pleasant and unsafe experience for walkers

At the moment there are lots of puddles. A new surface will improve it.

Very uneven surface at the moment. Living in Batheaston new bridge and path have vastly improved access

No puddles

This path is very hard to navigate with a push chair

New surface would be good providing it does not become a race track for cyclists because of the improvement
Will encourage cyclists to speed.

Doesn't like any of the suggested surface materials - thinks crushed stone is perfect. Speeding cyclists v

Better interaction. The Beckford Road end needs the path to end on Beckford Road as well as path head
It will give a better walking surface. Living in Ringswell Gardens, I often walk up to the tow path using the slip
This may encourage speeding cyclists as this will improve things for them.

There has in recent years been a significant increase in the number of cyclists. Most are good citizens but

Most Cyclists are responsible towards pedestrians. A minority are not. Please can we have some physical
A lot better for wheelchair users

An indication of whether cyclists or pedestrians should keep left on sight would be good- chaos at present

better if non slip, well drained surface used which does not ice over Worse only in that the surface is silent

It will encourage more cyclists & encourage more speed. A visible separation of pedestrians & cyclists is
It will make it better for everyone

Please do not widen too much and I'm not sure about lighting. If so just ion powered on canal edge.

Improving the surface will inevitably lead to cyclists cycling faster which means pedestrians will be at more
Upgrading will if anything lead to more cyclists and higher speeds.

Better access - with less mud and puddles, which will certainly benefit older and disabled people. BUT -

A hard surface such as the ones proposed will increase the number of speeding bikes and increase the v
Much could be done by simply cutting back overgrowth and filling hollows. Do not be dazzled by £££ into
Or buff brown double spray chipped surface. More seats along the towpath. Few notices to let visitors know
The towpath should prioritise pedestrians and cyclists must be always give way to them. Cyclists should
As long as cyclists don't go whizzing past.

Will improve conditions for all users as a commuter from Trowbridge continue all the way.

As long as the proposed surface does not get icy in cold weather. Would it be possible to include the path

Putting a surface on linear park (two tunnels greenway) has improved this path for pedestrians. It makes it
Possibly agrees with chipped depending on whether loose or stuck down. A well draining surface will all
Need to keep surface slightly rough for aesthetics and to discourage speeding. Welcome signage and

Strongly against bituminous surface. Hopefully you can take this opportunity for cyclists to advertise they
more usable surface area for all users. Is a resident who lives adjacent to the towpath, recreational user
I am worried about the minority of cyclists who speed and do time trials along towpath. There should be signs
Path practically unusable for walkers and bikes in winter. Any current conflict due to poor surface and puddles
Only if cyclists slow down

Prefers resin bound first, then stone chipped but doesn't like bituminous. It will remove the potholes/mud
Meadow Lane bridge is potentially an accident spot. Is there a possibility of an 'over pass' to go over the
Thinks bituminous is too urban looking. It will be less rutted and muddy in bad weather, worse because of
So long as there are enforcement measures to ensure safe cycling and no speeding. Uses path for comm
Our concern is that more speeding cyclists will be encouraged. Enforcement of considerate cycling behav
It depends; it is only better if more cyclists SLOW DOWN

as a walker on the tow path, I do have difficulty with cyclists, especially on weekends. I find it difficult to walk
Towpath narrow in places, often seems to meet a cyclist in a narrow section. Re Q.4 above my choices v
Pedestrians tend to walk closer to the water e.g to feed the ducks. an idea might be to leave the foot close
Notes for Q.4 Better for pedestrians - no way, not appropriate in a conservation area. Either resin bound or

- Significantly better for wheelchair users and prams pushers. - Better for Larkhall access
My friends who walk say its too full of potholes and makes walking awkward and has trip hazards. I am ve
Also better for disabled, those in wheelchairs, mobility buggies, child buggies. It would be especially goo
Also better for prams, wheelchairs etc.

A better surface will provide a safer and more comfortable walking surface. Better also for disabled peopl
Any would be a huge improvement! It is currently dreadful for all users in bad weather. The section betwee
Please consider extending the scheme into Bath and Bathwick and to Bathford Path.

Dog poo bins would make the towpath better for all users.

I find the current surface hazardous to run on. It hurt my feet and ankles. In addition improved surface woul
I will improve the surface to be more accessible for pedestrians and runners including those who are unst
Because of some bike behaviour

Resin worth the investment. Better for Cyclists. Please consider the shorter tow path from Sydney Gdns - I
Resin or stone chipped

the proposals will increase volume of all and shift the proportion of cyclists.

Fill pot holes more effective use of funds. Removal of puddles.

Any improvement of the surface will enable cyclists to go faster. They are already too fast.

Th very dangerous steps/path from Grosvenor Bridge is omitted from the scheme. this is needed if you wi

Improve surface which is often full of puddles. in winter its dangerous when icy - like a skating rink. We ar
Cyclist will not yield to other towpath users. they will, with the proposed improved surface. Cycle at Greater
It will become more dangerous for pedestirans. Cyclists will not heed to signage.

Leave it as it is. There is no need to spend money on the towpath as a rustic, rough, surface is better.

there will be more cyclists using the path so an enormous effort will have to go into educating both cyclists

Pedestrian safety is paramount. the new surface must be monitored and signs at frequent intervals to char

I don't feel the surface put down will make any difference to the cyclists who want to speed along the path.

They will make the towpath more hazardous.

more space for everyone to enjoy the canal

New surface will enable all weather walking (some months the towpath and access path are too muddy)

At the moment it is not usable to go to town if wet.

The path from Grosvenor Bridge to the towpath gets very muddy in wet weather, so it is not possible to use
Glad to see proposals for pedestrian priority signage.

A lot of nonsense is being said about speeding cyclists. They don't tend to bump into people either

A wider surface means we can share the space better. Also less able people will be able to use the path

Speed is already a problem, with selfish cyclists not using bells and expecting pedestrians to leap out of t

If it gets rid of the puddles it will be a huge improvement. BUT I hope it does not become used as a race t

The black surface has been fine at Sydney Gardens as it is adjacent to walks. A more green location nec

Full width of towpath will be in use and cyclists can concentrate on giving way to pedestrians instead of ha

Comments that it could be better or worse for pedestrians BUT only if there is clear signage about pedesti

The towpath becomes muddy with many puddles a flat surface should help

Would like grey chipped as per Devizes. All season surface. Better for all age and ability groups.

Better for families with buggies. Less splashing because of the current holes and less muddy. Also easie
Better surface = less mud and puddles. Aesthetically not as pleasant.

It will be accessible to those pedestrians because it will be accessible by wheelchair users and pushchai

Conflict between pedestrian & cyclist visit already.

so it helps to eliminate the puddles.

Current surface very muddy or dusty. Increased width should decrease conflict.

It will make a better surface for pedestrians to walk on but the fear is that cyclists will ride faster than they al

With maintenance of the path it will be better for cyclists and pedestrians. consider renewal of the path fror

Only if cyclists have due consideration for pedestrians and abide by speed restrictions etc.

My main concern would be the incline down to connect with bridge over R Avon which will obviously encou

Cyclists are a danger these new plans will lead to serious accidents.

Thinks it should be crushed compact stone. Any 'Improvements' should not result in encouraging speed
Would prefer compacted crushed stone. Any surface "improvements" that encourages even more cycling
Does not agree that any new surface required. This is a countryside path. Signage and resurfacing will tu
If money has already been allocated & will be spent on this type of path why are we having this consultatic
I am concerned not to lose the unique beauty of the route up to the canal path AND concerned about cycli
Answer to question 3 is 'part of it'

I think the smoother surface will encourage some cyclists to speed and attract the lycra brigade who comp

Improve stone stile & steps at condy;s Bridge. BA1/5 BA1/7 Short link between BA1/4 and conf BA1/5 nea
My main concern is the path sloping up from Grosvenor Bridge to the towpath. Speeding cycles here wou
Provided that walkers have priority. Also that cyclists pass walkers slowly and ring their bell.

Blacktop goes grey in 12 months. Stone chipped surface is expensive, unnecessary and less comfortabl

Least worst option for number 4. A new surface will increase the speed of cyclists and therefore increase
Also in point 4 they like the stone chipped surface. Improvements will in practice make the usable surfac
4 - either resin or stone chipped. Much better for mothers with pushchairs.

Number 4 either of the last two, Bituminous is "horrible". The surface would be improved in terms of puddle
with clear guidelines for cyclist and pedestrians it will, otherwise cyclist will cycle even faster than currently
If the whole path is shut then lots of people wouldn't be able to do some things most people do regularly e
The current path collects water in puddles, making it sometimes impassable

As a cyclist, it is unsafe to use the full width of the path due to potholes. As a result you are forced into a na

Cyclists and pedestrians do not mix easily. Both types of user will need to learn his best to use the footpat
the present material looks good but has dropped in many places allowing puddles in wet weather.

Like the signs and widening in certain places. New surface with solid base eg tarmac will allow cyclist to tr
3 just needs maintaining. 4 - None just maintain what is already there. Give Cyclists the option of going fas

I have experienced the very real hazard and danger of walking with my well-behaved dog on the footpath,
Cleaner & fewer puddles in wet weather, less dusty in dry weather, more usable for pedestrians and other

The plans to widen and improve the towpath will encourage faster cycling and more cycling increasing the
Number 3 - mixed feelings. I see it as more of a natural environment, not throughfare. Number 4 - No to Bit

Not sure whether the surface should be improved but understand that this is likely to happen. the effect on
number 3 - maybe. the surface will be an improvement in reducing muddy sections, but may encourage cy
As long as a stone chipped surface is not too light and so slowing in colour.

As there will be no potholes and puddles around which to walk. This will make it safer for all users as each

Bituminous or something which will not kick up dirt. Hopefully it will be better as less muddy. I have a daugh
Very deep puddles after rain currently & muddy. Im proved surface will benefit walkers & cyclists.

Please don't make the path that leads to the railway bridge at the bottom of Hampton Row cycle friendly. I
A smoother path with fewer holes for puddles could benefit everyone, though it will be important to emphas

The huge flood holes at present are a nightmare to navigate. Also as a runner along this path I never know
do you agree the current towpath should be improved? - not in this way - a stone chipped surface if it has t
Wider lane will facilitate faster cycling, greater volume of cycling, cyclists will have more room to cycle 2 or
Better: Wider : Less muddy Worse: It will allow cyclists and runners to go faster

I hope that you won't mind me making some more lengthy comments than your questionnaire allows. I hav
It is in good condition. A good smooth flat surface will encourage cyclists (my self included) to achieve hig
None of the above surfaces. Improving the towpath has the potential to encourage cyclists to go even fast
None of the above surfaces are environmentally friendly. The surfaces offered will turn the path into a rac

Cyclists will go faster

but why should all the funding come from Cycle City Ambition Grant. Yes provided there are behaviour sig
UNLESS all users are respectful of the needs of others and a proper cycling route into Bath along London

less puddles but needs to be sloped so rain runs off and even so doesn't break up because of roots.
As the improvements are aimed at cyclists this will result in the path being more used by them (and more extra width should help plus extra signage reminding cyclists not to speed. Resurfacing under the railway bridge. Definitely, it's been a mess for years and is a poor advert for the NCN being on a flagship route. An all year round cycling will be faster the use of bells etc will head early warning.
this depends on whether cyclists continue to speed on the new flat surface. Hopefully it will improve the situation.

Please read my extensive comments in answer to question 2!
Providing an all weather path will make it better for all users and also allow the full width of the path to be used.

Should be less muddy and slippery and the path will be more clearly defined. Hopefully the new surface
Less puddles and more space where available to facilitate passing will be better for all
I would normally say stone chip for a surface next to a canal, but this short section is SO heavily used that it
No more puddles. No more mud. Wider paths to allow users to pass each other safely & in comfort. Easier
It's currently very uneven and full of puddles - even in the summer. This makes it difficult for everyone to navigate.
Removal of puddles but increased quantity and speed of cyclists.

Anything that increases the problem of high speed cyclists will make things worse for all other users.
But it will be worse for cyclists!
If the bitumen option is adopted I fear the surface will be too smooth and prone to icing in the winter months.

Smoother path means faster bikes. Which makes it potentially unsafe for other users.
if any surface please opt for crushed stone
Obviously the surface itself will be better and hopefully cleaner for walking but I have concerns about the noise.

you must consider the visual impact who in their right mind would run a hideous path of bitumen through a scenic area.
Living near the Two Tunnels link I have first hand experience of walking on a new all weather surface used for cycling.
You are just making this beautiful canal into a cycling track which will encourage fast cycling and the associated noise.
It will be less muddy and less puddles in wet weather.
I don't think the path is currently that bad for pedestrians
I think it will encourage cyclists to go faster.

Will remove the large number of puddles that appear during wet weather. Will make the surface less muddy.
Unsafe due to improved surface for cyclists. Visually unappealing in what is a rural environment - creeping

needs to include better links at both ends to other paths
easier access, less mud. Pedestrians will need to be aware of and considerate to other users. Not taking

Less muddy but more risk of racing cyclists
This will be a disaster. Cyclists already speed down the towpath and this will make it far worse. I am concerned.
Many canal boat owners and pedestrians and dog walkers are very worried that improvement to the path

No more being splashed by bikes going through puddles. Bikes will be more predictable - they will be able to

Improving surface will spoil safe walking because cyclists are speeding ,agressive and think you have to

The urbanisation of the countryside adjacent to the canal, by what amounts to a linear floating caravan park
It needs to be free-draining to prevent excess water going straight into the canal. If it is raining you were bo
Faster bikes.

Many cyclists will do sensible speeds but there are already too many that don't. A harder surface will mea

With the improvement to the quality of the surface there should be no mud and reduced problems with puddles
It gets very muddy at the moment. It is worth smartening up Sydney Gardens for tourists regardless of issues
Better drainage, less pot holes, more space, less likely to trip, better to look at.

The path is user friendly(for pedestrians) in its current state, although the pot holes need attention

New surface will encourage faster cycling and so more dangerous for pedestrians. This is already a big p

Some of the scaremongering nonsense about rampaging cyclists has been ridiculous. The same logic w

No control over cyclists who never use bells and speed

Chipped stone would be preferable to the other two and more in keeping with our National Heritage city. I
I would expect the planned improvements to reduce conflict between pedestrians and other users by virtu

Worse for pedestrians as you will just turn this stretch into a racetrack for cyclists. Old people, children, and

IF YOU IMPROVE THE SURFACE THEN CYCLISTS CAN TAKE A STRAIGHTER LINE TO AVOID POT HOLES

An improved surface will make the route usable year round for all users, including those with pushchairs and

The use of it as a fast commuter route for cyclists will make it dangerous and ruin its relaxing feel

As a visually impaired pedestrian I welcome a smooth, well drained surface. Tarmac is always the best option
Not sure about resin bound surface but would not like a bituminous surface. Cyclists would ride too fast.

Cyclists already go too fast on this path and improving the surface will make it tempting for them to go even

The surface is currently fine for walkers, dog walkers and anglers and just causes cyclists to limit their speed

Win, win, everyone benefits. It's a nightmare for pushchairs and older people or anyone who could trip
not sure but could make it smoother and easier for some to walk on

Some cyclists already go at dangerous speeds and can make walking on what should be a peaceful retr

The surface is poor for whatever use. Puddles are ubiquitous and have to be avoided. Making the surface
No option to put a comment on question 4 so I am putting it here...Whatever surface is put down it needs to
I live near the canal, albeit further out from the proposals, near Avoncliff. My experience from very regular use
Making a harder surface will increase the speed of cyclist commuters and high speed cyclists on the path
I am worried that cyclists will ride even faster than they already ride, making it dangerous for walkers, especially
I would prefer to walk on a muddy towpath in peace with my grandchild and dog. Once this is upgraded even

The current footpath is very wet after rainfall and difficult to access with small children and buggy's, especially
There needs to be sufficient width to allow cyclists to pass pedestrians safely
Increased usage that is not required as already popular
Each of the surface materials identified promote the towpath as a highway for cyclists and, despite the intention
The proposals will encourage fast cycling which will endanger pedestrians

A better surface is bound to lead to an increase in speed from some cyclists and I believe that as a very b

Better for older/infirm people and kids as surface broken up rough and puddles when wet
It is currently very pot holed which makes it tricky for runners/walkers/cyclists - particularly after rain. The b

I am concerned that a better surface will also cause cyclists to go even faster. I am already worried about t
I'm concerned that widening the towpath will urbanise the countryside. People should dress for the country

I'm a cyclist but if the surface is improved too much you will start attracting the road bikers who will want spe

I certainly do not enjoy walking through puddles, or on the wet grass
Ability to use the full width of path. Useable all year round without having to resort to wellies.

Make it quicker to walk as avoiding all the puddles does hold us up on the way to work. It needs resurfacing
I cycle, run and walk along the path. If it's raining it's currently horrible for everyone. The path is currently nc

The difficulty is that if the path is improved and cycling use increases as a result, the experience of a peace
Puddles are a consistent problem, and you often have to jump them or wait for people to cross them before

I should point out first of all that I am the author of Queen of Waters, about the K&A, and which includes a wa

Do you consider the proposals will make it:

Response

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

About the same

About the same

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

About the same

Better for cyclists

appropriate on this stretch of pa

About the same

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Worse for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

About the same

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

ts to slow them down, eg as i

Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

ssfully . They do not know w

Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists

ie other so long as in keeping

Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

About the same
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
About the same
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Worse for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists
Better for cyclists

Your comments

They too want to avoid excess water when they ride

More usable space. Fewer puddles. Less chance of conflict with pedestrians.

Improving the towpath for cyclists, would make it worse for other users, at busy times the towpath th
My bike us my only mode of transport. In winter months my bike becomes so dilapidated by the bu
What is better - higher speed?
Do not make it better for cyclists they can be a menace.
A fast ride is not possible on a shared path or a reasonable expectation
I tend not to use the canal for commuting because of the surface. However I have used it with my cl
It will probably make some cyclists faster - which will cause a conflict with walkers.

Cyclists really need to be speed controlled, an easier surface wil mean they go even faster, I have
If speed control measures are appropriately deployed cyclists will be disadvantaged. If they are r

Less bumpy is better for a sore cyclists bottom. Safer for breaking as the surface is uniform.

Most cyclists will naturally go faster on a sealed, hard, surface than on a loose one. Many already !

Of course, the whole project appears to be aimed at facilitating faster cycling. As one respondent a
The council should be following their own policy and encouraging and enabling all kinds of non-mc
The path will be usable by people on bikes for more of the year.
Need speed bumps to stop inconsiderate cyclists.
Please consider extending improved surface from planned termination to A36 Beckford Road
Cyclists can concentrate on their safer riding if they don't have to look at the surface

But this comes with issues about safety and speed.

Widening the path may make it safer but a hardened city style surface is not necessary at all. As a
However, will encourage them to speed

Very rough between George and first bridge at the moment.
Path is uncomfortable to cycle on at present. Advisory 10mph speed limit

Please remember it is a shared space
No puddles or potholes

It will become even more of a speedway for cyclists using strava etc

improved surfaces for better cycling. No more muddles
They will go even faster
More signage to support good behaviour and reduce speed of aggressive cyclists.

in regents canal

Better if well drained, good grip & does not become icy. Worse only because more cyclists will be

Smoother surface & may encourage higher speeds
It will make it better for cleaner movement on the cycle path

But at the cost of pedestrians
Yes, if the worst speeders can learn to respect this as a shared space, so that they don't ruin it for a

As above
Faster faster

But they need to consider other users.
Will be a fantastic improvement all just need to be able to share I don't agree with the walkers have

BUT Cardy's Bridge remains a problem. It needs a handrail along the canal side or a bypass to c

Again a well drained surfaced will be a great benefit but there needs to be clear signage emphasis
Less puddles will make the path easier to use. Need to improve the surface south of The George

What about demarcation lines to separate wheels from legs. Prefers brown pebbles to the grey one
again better use of entire width

Surface poor at present same as comment as above apply
Cyclists will go faster

smoother surface may increase their speed so good idea to have some signage about shared sp

With the risk of further speeding
See before. Cyclists will definitely benefit - not a problem per se, if the impact of cyclists on the other
should be designed to make it better to considerate cyclists

Path is very bumpy at the moment, this is both uncomfortable and causes wear and damage to the
I commute on the path daily and the grit means I need to replace wheels and gears very frequently
Need to control speed

concerned about potential speeding

Currently the path is in a very poor state and too narrow for sharing with pedestrians and very unco

Present surface and width is not suitable for cycling. Potholes and puddles are not helpful.

The towpath currently a very poor link to the new cycle path to Bathford.

This is on the Sustrans 4 route. road bikes find the surface too rough meaning that I use the A36 instead of the towpath. Improved surface to causing less damage to the surface in poor conditions. Increase usability to all could be at walkers peril

Smoother surface more even less muddy

the proposals are designed to be better for cyclists.

Cyclists will be able to cycle faster on a flat surface.

Same as comments for walking.

It will be the most beautiful velodrome in the country

It will be a race track.

cyclists are using it a lot actually putting pedestrians at risk. don't make it's surface better as they v

It will give a safe cycle route but it will also encourage them to speed up especially if they are comr

Good for considerate cyclist. Disastrous for pedestrians against inconsiderate cyclists. I suggest

good for considerate cyclists, bad for walkers, as the better the surface is, it will attract even more c

It will allow cycles to go even faster and use the path as a speedway/ Also there is a limit to how ma

1. The speed of cyclists needs to be checked - not a racing track. 2. More space for everyone to e

Given that cyclists largely ignore the "get off and push" notices at the two road tunnels adjacent to S

Grosvenor Bridge area especially

London Road is still dangerous for cycling. Canal should be for leisure and make road safe for co

The current surface is very rough BUT it is only for relatively short section. It will benefit Bathford

Hopefully it should not encourage cyclists to go faster

A level and stable surface can only be a benefit for us all! BUT I hope it will not encourage cyclists

Again good signage. ESPECIALLY asking bikers to ring bells WHENEVER even if there is room i

Safer ride (see prev answer)

It should but it may increase speeding.

Consider a bypass around the Meadow Lane Bridge (184) to avoid pinch point arch. Good signag

The current holes and ruts mean that the cyclists swerve to avoid them and inadvertently splash ove

It will be safer for cyclists. I don't use it currently as it's unfit for my bike.

An obvious traffic free route to east Bath/villages. currently bit down by v rough/muddy/dusty surfac

could the ramp from Grosvenor Bridge to the towpath. Also to be considered for renewal. Need for

suggest speed cameras (average!) to make towpath safer for everyone

cyclists will not observe courtesy rules.

I think the surface improvements will diminish the cycling experience because of the likelihood of c
It will be more dangerous for children cycling as there will be more cycling traffic and more speedir

most part worse for anyone slower than a cyclist and environment and boaters historic qualities of a
it will be better for cyclists but worse for walk

Although the weekend bikes (lycra) may go too fast

Signage is best seen by cyclist on the surface in white paint. You cant easily paint stonechip.

As the funding has in it's proposal to increase the update of cycling from East of Bath it will be bette
This is currently the only traffic free route my family can take into the city.

Not needing to navigate puddles will make, ultimately, more space for all.

Easier to avoid a group of pedestrians

removing standing water so cyclist can't 'splash' through puddles will be great it will also to reduce
As a cyclist, it is unsafe to use the full width of the path due to potholes. As a result you are forced i

Sign which say slow down will help get cyclists to slow down. But surface will make it faster.

Some go too fast anyway & just ring their bells (possibly) expecting pedestrians to get out of the wa
See above - better surfaces will encourage more cyclists and above all faster speeds.

See previous questions

Increasing numbers and speed of cyclists will increase the likelihood of serious accidents.

Better but its such a short distance for a bike that any gain would be marginal.

The better surface will probably make it better for cyclists, but probably to the detriment of the other
the towpath is currently quite muddy & puddled, reducing the width for users & meaning cyclists ha
As a walker user I hope the stone chipped surface will discourage speeding cyclists.

As there will be no potholes and puddles around which to cycle. This will make it safer for all users :
Fully smooth comfortable ride on a smooth surface.

Yes, the width will make it safer. The surface needs to be such so there are no puddles.

Much better. The current surface is rather rough.

The only problem will be that a better surface here will enable cyclists to go quicker! the pathway c
Of course - easy surface for speedy rides.

This is all about cycling funded by cycling money - but I question whether this is the best investmen
Faster More Connectivity

Less puddles. Smooth, extremely fast surface. Cyclists will find their bikes in cleaner condidtion. Im
See 5

Cyclists already assume that they hve right of way and the widening of the path plus resurfacing wil

Better surface = increased speed of cyclists = more hazardous environment: no separation, no cor
Cyclists seems to be the only thing the council cares about.

It will benefit mainly leisure cycling NOT commuters, children & students going to school and colleg
UNLESS all users are respectfurl of the needs of others and a proper cycling route into Bath is pro

they will be able to look at the views instead of big rocks or bumpy ground

Bells on bikes would help this!

As previous box substituting cyclists for walkers. Additionally it will encourage many more people to
See notes on 5

The new surface should give a most comfortable ride!

"Better for cyclists" also means better for disabled users in wheelchairs. Bituminous surface is smoother
If the path surface features regularly painted signs indicating 'Pedestrian Priority', then the improve

For reasons above.

No more puddles. No more mud. Wider paths to allow users to pass each other safely & in comfort
It's currently very uneven and full of puddles - even in the summer. This makes it difficult for everyone

A smooth surface will serve to encourage cyclists to ride even faster than they do at present and

See comment to Q5 above

it should not be made better for cyclists it is dangerous enough as it is as pedestrian you constantly
Faster, smoother and less wearing on the bike

I think your consultation with cyclists rather than other users has skewed the choice of surface.

It's currently too wet and muddy for me to commute in bad weather.

Always good to even out a few pot holes!

Will make the surface smoother, cleaner and easier to ride on. Will remove puddles during wet weather
Better for cyclists and therefore worse for everyone else.

needs to include better links at both ends to other paths

easier access, wider and smoother ride. Cyclists will need to be aware of and considerate to other

It doesn't need to be better for cyclists, I think the current set up is good for a combination of reasons
Cyclists will go faster than they already do with a better surface, more accidents with families/children
Better for cyclists to the detriment of others

fewer pot holes. less standing water.

Unable to complete this survey, as I can not highlight my choses
Cyclists presume walkers should get out of their way. Elderly, or toddlers should be able to enjoy a

Fast cyclists should be discouraged, but that will happen naturally with more people using it. The w
It may lead to animosity towards all cyclists from other users.

The improved surface will mean no dips, potholes, and mud. The surface should also mean better
I suggest occasional speed bumps to slow down cyclists (which are safe for children)
More path to ride on, less potholes, quicker journey, less water, nicer ride
Yes, they always cycle faster on even, smooth surfaces; improvements to the path will be seen as

Faster cyclists - not good for older/ younger slower cyclists, and not good to encourage cyclists to

Our 5 year old struggles with the current surface with her 10inch wheels, so this is a great idea as its

It will prevent cyclists from going too fast as they often do - I do not want this stretch of towpath turnir
The problem with some cyclists is that they always expect walkers to get out of their way - as if they
I would expect the planned improvements to reduce conflict between cyclists and other users as w

It should be gravel, with speed limiting gates/humps etc and an ENFORCED speed limit for cyclist:

It will link with increasing cycling infrastructure

The current surface is very pitted with a loose surface making the path very wet and muddy in the w

I currently am forced to cycle down the A36 to Bathampton due to the poor surface but would use th

i would like a solution that is better for lease ire cyclists but not better for racing cyclists - so not too

Clearly a smoother surface is better for cyclists. However, speed restrictions must be applied and

Of course a slick wider surface will make it better for cyclists but this will inevitably be at the expens
look at the STRADA website, cyclists use the towpaths as time trial venues, "I'm ringing my bell, I'n
Good idea to pout up clear signs emphasising the npath is not for fast riding, and aslso telling ped:
I think this would mean cyclist could go faster on the smoother surface...having walked and cycled

yes they will be able to go even faster, and potentially could cause more accidents

Of course cyclists will benefit. It will be safer for cyclists, and as a result speeds will inevitably incre

Not all cyclists! only ones who wish to go at high speed. This option will make it less safe for familie

This is not necessarily a good thing!

They will be able to go even faster and two abreast.

Please try to ensure that cyclists keep their speed down and will be prepared to dismount when the

My husband currently takes the bus everyday to Bath Spa Station, but would cycle if the path didn't
It will increase speeds - this needs to be managed

The path is a permissive path and as the C&RT and Sustrans state it is not suitable route due to use
Cyclists will gain an additional route along which they will be the fastest and dominant user.
Cyclists will be able to cycle even faster, which will endanger other towpath users

It's great that it will be a better surface to cycle on as long as cyclists are considerate.

Great for families encouraging kids to ride bikes in safe environment. Route to local pubs at bathspa

I feel there must be something done to ensure cyclists are considerate. Though some do show awareness
Not sure of the details and you don't allow people to answer the questions in part. Yes, it would be better

No puddles, no splashing of us walkers!
Suitable for all types of cycle. Don't have to resort to MTB in bad weather.

Cyclists to work avoiding the puddles and walkers make it hard to manoeuvre. It needs resurfacing

As above there is an expectation problem if cyclists start to expect that this is their route and others
More width will make cycling safer, and reduce swerving by careless/inexperienced cyclists thus

It will just become a cycle track. I have walked the canal and boated it. I noted that, where it is easy

Do you consider the proposals will make it:	Your comments	Response
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	see pedestrians comments	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	With more cyclists and risk c	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		
Better for dog walkers		
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	It will be difficult to allow your dog off of the lead if cyclists	
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	My dog has been hit a numb	About the same
Worse for dog walkers		
Better for dog walkers	The towpath will become mc	Worse for boat users
About the same	Dogs need to be on a lead.	About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Due to the volume of cyclists	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Faster cycles not good for le	About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Better for everyone	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	I often witness near-misses t	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Because cyclists will be enc	About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		
Worse for dog walkers	It will be harder to have dogs off leads with an increase in	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		
Worse for dog walkers	Worse for the pads of the do	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same	Dog walkers are the biggest	About the same

Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	No puddles	Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	A hardcore surface is obvious	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
About the same	Better surfaces for walking	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	We will have to keep our dogs	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Additional bins for dog waste	About the same

About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
		Better for boat users
		About the same

Better for dog walkers		
Better for dog walkers		
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
About the same	Better for the dog walker, slightly	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	As above	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Dog walkers are pedestrians	About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same

Better for dog walkers	Stretchy leads are the major issue for cyclists.	
Better for dog walkers		
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
s that it is a shared space.		
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		About the same

es.		
Better for dog walkers	If dog poo bins are supplied	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	I am concerned again about	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers	See pedestrian comments	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Less muddy	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		About the same

Worse for dog walkers	Danger of speeding cyclists	About the same
About the same	The surface presently does	Better for boat users
About the same		Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers	more width and better access	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Stone bounce off my tyres when	About the same
Better for dog walkers	Less potholes better - but again	About the same

Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	It will be wider and people w	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	Improved walking conditions	About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Don't know	Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Same as comments for walking.	
	Many (most) bicycles are un	Worse for boat users
will speed.	n/a	
Worse for dog walkers	There is likely to be more pe	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Unless a better surface, the	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	worse for dog owners. with a	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers	Loose dogs and speeding c	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	As above with faster cyclists	About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	As above	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	Dog Walkers are pedestriar	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Pedestrians with dogs	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	YES! My dog less likely to come home wet and muddy (f	
About the same		
Better for dog walkers	more space for users	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
ce.		Better for boat users
the path to be maintained.	Don't know	
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same

About the same		About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Any cycling traffic increases	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Increased cycling traffic will	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
traditionally insulting environment	Measure but worse for dogs feet. A dog walker prese	
Worse for dog walkers	risk of cyclists running into d	About the same
About the same	They will dump their poo bag	About the same
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers		
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	Provided that cyclists accep	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Surface signage such as 'sl	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	The towpath has been a ple	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers	As pedestrians it will be bett	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		
About the same		Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers	More space to walk and saf	About the same
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	As above	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		
About the same	One feels that you are taking	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Cyclists are a danger to ped	Worse for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	see above 5 & 6	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Worse because of danger fr	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Again I would anticipate that the minority of dangerous cy	
Worse for dog walkers	As a dog walker, I would be concerned about the increas	
About the same	The proposals will presuma	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	As there will be no potholes	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Will make it easier and comf	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	A smoother path will be goo	About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	At present the pathway is a j	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Will be more hazardous for c	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Speeding cyclists & dogs ar	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	If you make it better for cyclis	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	See 5	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	I already walk my dogs at 6	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Cyclists will go faster	Worse for boat users
ge etc. There is no suitable alternative	UNLESS all users are respectful of the needs of others a	
Worse for dog walkers		

Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	The same comments as for pedestrians: improvements \	

Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Dogs on long leads & cyclists	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Are dog waste bins provided	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Improvements will also improve things for pedestrians	Number of pedestrians depends on s	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Poss more bikes going fast	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	No more puddles. No more	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	Because pushchairs, wheelc	Better for boat users
About the same	See comment for pedestrian	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Most "improvements" are w	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	They will also be affected by	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Less natural cover for their a	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	See above. Dogs and peop	About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
About the same	No difference as to a pedest	About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users

Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	See above comments for w	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	As above - dogs will need to	Worse for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same

	no opinion as not dog walker	
Better for dog walkers	easier access, less mud wic	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	There will be too many spee	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Less muddy but worse due t	About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers	see comments for pedestria	Better for boat users

Better for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Cyclists get angry if a dog is	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
About the same	Dog walkers are pedestrian	Worse for boat users
About the same		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Even on a lead the dog can	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers	The improved path surface	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	As per walkers	Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Dogs; even when on a lead,	Worse for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Faster cycling speeds end a	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	When you have dogs with yo	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	I would expect the planned in	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		About the same
About the same		About the same
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Being hit by speeding cyclis	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers	As above	Better for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers	An improved surface will ma	Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users

the towpath frequently if it was improved.

Better for dog walkers		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
About the same	The disadvantage of black	Better for boat users
About the same		About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	With cyclists going faster do	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Its difficult now to take a dog	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	cyclists again, better surface	Worse for boat users
About the same		Better for boat users
About the same	Again possibly a smoother s	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	See 5 above	About the same
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Better for boat users
Better for dog walkers		Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	It takes a very brave (foolha)	About the same
Worse for dog walkers	Who wants the likelihood of i	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Cyclists often bump into dog	Worse for boat users
Worse for dog walkers	Always worried by fast cyclis	Worse for boat users
About the same		About the same
Worse for dog walkers		Worse for boat users

Your comments

Boat users have to bring in supplies along the towpath and need a better drained surface. But with muc

go too fast.

I am concerned about any loss of habitat that could be caused by the widening of the path but there is n

Narrow verges will result in less privacy and it will look less beautiful. There will be less space for moor

Except for fast cyclists

As mentioned the proprietorial sense of privilege will fuel the existing climate of prejudice and discrimin

When pulling the boat around locks and pub stops.

Boat users will need to be very careful if they venture onto the proposed pavement and young children

Resident boat users will need to commute into the city. But for leisure users, and for boat dwellers in the

Less dust thrown up in dry spells.

1 cycling

It may well increase suggestion

Again no puddles or potholes

More speeding cyclist will cause further distress to boat users.

I know verges of the canal are being left rough, so mooring spikes can still be used

Don't Know

More space... prefer a wider towpath. Also dryer, with better drainage and improved bank quality (small
It will be better for boaters if they walk/cycle into town to buy supplies. When working on the boats, it will
As above

If the banks of river are maintained.

Concerned about impact on wildlife and the visual impact of the new towpath

The verge/moorings will be similar but the general state of the path will be helpful when sitting out etc

More path width means less space or boat owners stuff on the track.

less muddy path is better for everyone

Trade off again re cyclist speed.

1. gate from Jubilee Gardens should be self closing. 2. Gate from Mill Lane to new cycle path to Bathea

Path instead of dodging between

Not competent to consider!

Probably

Don't know

Same as comments for walking.

Excessive speed and attitudes of a considerable minority of cyclist will make boat users life miserable

n/a

not in a position to really comment

Because the better drainage will make their access easier.

Better for boat users as there will be less puddles.

Increased traffic

Less mud trod into your front room.

Especially when a boat user has to use the path to obtain water etc or to do some shopping.

When Boat users use the path answer 5 applies

But they may prefer things as they are not made easier therefore not encourage more people and bike:

Better all round

(un for him but not for me)

Don't know

more space for all users

Neater moorings

Less loose stones - always worry about stones, flung up by bike tyres hitting boats.

Don't know

The possible increase in speeding cyclists make the towpath a less enjoyable experience for all users
Again, cycling traffic, especially speeding cyclists is already problematic and will become more so.

Not sure but: faster bikes move people on foot stepping outside = less secure mooring.

It will lose its relaxed random nature and become a highway.
Less dusty
Better, safer night use.

As above

unsure

Making the surface easier to cycle along, at speed, will make it much less pleasant for boat users, and

Don't know
Don't know.
Less erosions, safer surface so hopefully better.
Boat users will not get as much mud on their shoes!
Easier to manage in mooring and tying up securely.

By definition boat users out mainly on the water!

I suppose this is a balance between better access for them and increased business alongside their homes
Ruin through urbanising a previously semi-rural/wild pleasant environment with City - like path, signage
Better: improvements for walking and cycling as access worse: Cyclists going faster on balance
No difference
Don't know as I am not a boat user.

their already limited space will be impacted. Holiday makers will also find their experience less pleasant

Cyclists will go faster
don't know
don't know

but you may get more people using the path
Don't know

Will provide a much cleaner access for ? and make it easier when they need to fetch water shopping etc
Boat users are often cyclists are less fast
Should be cleaner/less muddy. Advantages as for all the other users. They may hear less arguments to

How can anyone really answer these questions when you make no clear statement as to signage

Poss more bikes going faster.

Increased use of towpath and speed of cyclists closer to boats.

Depending how much wider the path is made it would make it very difficult to put pegs into the bank to stop

i can't imagine any of the boat users being happy with black bitumen outside their front doors and the dirt
Easier for them to get to and from their boats
Not much to say, except they should all take great care as cyclist swoosh past.

Boat users aren't walking....

I live on a boat with my family including three young children. I have already seen several incidents involving

Boat users will find it harder to hammer in mooring pins and their pets more likely to be killed by speeding cyclists

no opinion as not boat user

smoother path, less mud. Boat users will need to be aware of and considerate to other users. No spilling

As a boater I see people have arguments with cyclists going too fast all the time. I do not want to see an accident
Less mud in your boat but more danger from speeding cyclists

take less muck, dirt, water on to boats from tow path.

I expect they like their privacy and the proposals are likely to increase traffic. However I guess they may
Mooring along this stretch is poor .need to improve this as well
For the same reasons

The improved quality of the surface has to be of benefit for all users, including boat users. When boats :
The towpath might get busier but it will be cleaner
Less mess, easier to access town,
Boat users tend to 'potter' around the area of tow path adjacent to their boats; again, speeding cyclist w
Boat users especially those who live on their boats will have more danger from fast cyclists and there is

Hopefully it will make it better for boat users as this was the original purpose of the canal. We shouldn't
I would expect the planned improvements to reduce conflict between boat users/residents and other us

Again; making this into a cycling racetrack will make it worse for EVERYONE. Except the speeding cycl
As above

An improved surface will make the route usable year round for all users, including those with pushchairs

It will not still be a place for families to relax and children to be able to play

Smoother to walk on and less mud or grit to tread into the boat. Mooring rings and a good edge are ess

Busier noisier pathway beside their homes will not be better for them and if the surface is tarmac it will ru

The current path is fine as boat users are on the water or tied up to the bank. There is potential for probl

Not sure if they would find it helpful to have a smoother surface...ask them.

Easier access

Probably worse as the cyclists will be able to go even faster than they already do, putting children, thos

Safety for boat users with children and pets

Boat users are also walkers

Difficult to moor up safely with even more cyclists whizzing by. Where will boaters moor whilst the work is

As long as it is still possible to moor a boat otherwise you will lose the boaters who genuinely cruise a

over usage

Boaters both live-aboard and leisure boaters will be in even more danger from fast cyclists when they s

Boat people some of worst offenders with dogs off leads and taking over areas of path and canal side.

We always say hello to boat users as they pass and so more path users should mean more friendly 'he

As a non boat user I feel the pathway isn't for boats and the people aboard the boats SEE pedestrians.

People who live aboard will have their lives greatly improved.

Most boat users are opposed to this, even those who cycle. Some have even set up methods of slowin

Do you consider the proposals will make it:

Response

Your comments

About the same

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

With more space there will be less space for anglers.

Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

About the same

About the same

About the same

About the same

About the same

About the same

I'm not an angler so couldn't comment

Worse for anglers

About the same

An upgrade will compromise habitat and make the banks less natural

Worse for anglers

Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

Due to decreased temporary encroachment on the towpath for their re

About the same

Better for anglers

About the same

No idea a and fed up of better for everyone. Also the next question is

Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

About the same

Worse for anglers

Anglers will have less room and their occasional encroachments onto

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

Pedestrians can negotiate shared space with the anglers. Will speed

About the same

About the same

Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Worse for anglers

Worse for anglers

Anglers go fishing for a natural tranquil environment not for a city expe

About the same

Better for anglers

About the same

Angles are a nuisance as they often completely block the path.

Better for anglers
About the same

Anglers don't care about puddles

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
About the same

Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers

I know verges of the canal are being left rough, so mooring spikes can

Don't Know

About the same
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers

More users, more cyclists, more noise and disturbance.

Better for anglers
About the same

As above
Question: Do you consider the proposals will harm the environment?
If towpath maintained more space for anglers to set up.

About the same

About the same
About the same

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same

Not sure what they need but presumably this will improve access of th

About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same

less space for anglers Overall comment The scheme will be an irr
more width is better for everyone

Trade off again re cyclist speed. Other comments. - Bathampton rai

Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	
About the same	
Better for anglers	the pot hols, narrow verges etc. Currently not safe or navigable by eld
About the same	Don't know
About the same	
Better for anglers	
About the same	
About the same	
Better for anglers	Don't know
About the same	
Worse for anglers	
About the same	
About the same	
About the same	
Worse for anglers	Same as comments for walking.
	n/a
	Unable to comment
About the same	Because they're on the verge, apart from more traffic behind them the
About the same	the anglers are on the grass verge by the canal so they are not hassl
Worse for anglers	
Worse for anglers	Increased traffic
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	Fewer trip hazards with the heavy loads
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	When Anglers walk to where they sit, answer 5 applies. Once sat, the
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	Easier to move their large quantities of equipment.
Better for anglers	Improved access especially for equipment trolleys
Better for anglers	
Better for anglers	Don't know
Better for anglers	More space for anglers and other users.
Better for anglers	
About the same	We only have limited knowledge of angling
About the same	
	Don't know
About the same	
About the same	
About the same	

About the same
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers

Cycle speed will cause problems.

As above

B A Assoc pay to use the towpath and anglers would have 'their' space
Not sure but I imagine some of the longer poles and could be at risk.

About the same
About the same

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same

About the same

?

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same

As above

Anglers appear to be prepared for the worst weather and surface.
unsure

About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same

More noise, more danger from inconsiderate, speeding cyclists.

cyclists! see 5 and 6 above.

Don't know

Don't know

As above

Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers

Comfortable to sit on.
not sure ?

A smoother path will be good for everyone.

Or maybe worse - depending on volume of traffic. Why is there no queue?

As for boat users

I disagree with fishing, I think it's cruel. Better access but could be less

As above

Anglers & equipment & peace will be shattered by cyclists speeding

Cyclists will go faster

Easier to transport equipment on trolleys.

Don't know

About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers

probably because it will be a much nicer facility so more people will u
Don't know

etc.
Worse for anglers
ool!!!
Better for anglers

Don't know
Don't know.
They have to wheel their angling trolleys so smooth surface better.

About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers

Poss more bikes going faster.

See boat comment above.

Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers

Less area on the banks to set up their swim and a big risk of being co

Better access for them
They will suffer from fast cyclists too.

Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same

Will be easier for Anglers to access the canal when walking and pullir
Don't think it will make much difference.

Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers

not an angler
easier access, wider path. Anglers will need to be aware of and cons

Any other user than cyclists will not benefit from the proposals.

Easier access to fishing spots.

About the same
About the same

About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers

I don't know much about anglers. I don't think they should fish in canals

Only in that the path surface will be better for walking along to get to / from

As per walkers
For all the reasons above. Angling is a solitary pastime usually pursued

Anglers will be in even more danger from fast cyclists, already anglers

I would expect the planned improvements to reduce conflict between

Being hit by speeding cyclists

As above

An improved surface will make the route usable year round for all uses

About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers

I am not an angler so can't express a valid opinion on this

Not so tranquil for anglers

Widening of the tow path area will restrict fishable areas. Risk of damage

Not sure it is a problem for anglers having a rough surface. They sit still

Safety again! and what is the impact of a plastic or bitumen surface for

They will have to fish on the path. Not safe.

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Worse for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same

Anglers are in the main pretty good but some do tend to spread and c

More traffic, more disturbance

Anglers will be in more danger from fast cyclists than they are already

Barely used imo too busy a stretch prefer quieter reaches bathampto

About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same
Better for anglers

Better for anglers

Probably better for their trollies and walking. It need RESURFACING I

Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Worse for anglers
About the same
Better for anglers
Better for anglers
About the same
About the same

I'm unsure of the needs of anglers.

How frequently do you use the towpath between Bathampton and Sydney gardens?	Overall, do you consider that the proposals will improve the towpath?
Response	Response
Up to three times a month	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a month	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes

Up to three times a month	Don't know
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes

Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
	No
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	
	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	
Up to three times a week	Yes

More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Less than three times a year	No
Up to three times a month	
Up to three times a month	No

Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	No
	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Don't know
Up to three times a month	Don't know
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes

Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Less than three times a year	Don't know
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
More than three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Don't know
Less than three times a year	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
Three times a month or more	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	No
Up to three times a month	No
Up to three times a month	No
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	No

Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	No
Three times a month or more	No
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a week	No
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	No
Up to three times a week	No
Up to three times a month	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	Don't know
More than three times a week	Don't know
Less than three times a year	Yes
Up to three times a week	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Up to three times a month	No
Up to three times a month	Yes
Less than three times a year	Don't know
Three times a month or more	Yes
More than three times a week	Yes
Three times a month or more	Yes
Up to three times a month	Yes
Up to three times a week	No

In relation to this project, are you:

Response

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

A resident adjacent to the towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Do you co:
Physically disabled or mobility

Physically disabled or mobility

Physically disabled or mobility

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

A resident adjacent to the towpath
Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Physically disabled or mobility

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting

Physically disabled or mobility
Physically disabled or mobility

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
A resident adjacent to the towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

A canal boat user
A canal boat user
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Use towpath for commuting

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Use towpath for commuting

A resident adjacent to the towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Physically disabled or mobility

Physically disabled or mobility

Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Use towpath for commuting
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Use towpath for commuting
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A canal boat user
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A canal boat user
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A resident adjacent to the towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A canal boat user
Use towpath for commuting
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
A canal boat user
A canal boat user
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Use towpath for commuting
A canal boat user
A canal boat user
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath
Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

A canal boat user

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

Use towpath for commuting

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Use towpath for commuting

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A resident adjacent to the towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

A canal boat user

A canal boat user

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Recreational user (walking/cycling) of towpath

Physically disabled or mobility

Consider yourself to be any of the following:

Blind or visually impaired Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

/ impaired

/ impaired

Blind or visually impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

y impaired

y impaired

y impaired

Blind or visually impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

y impaired

y impaired

y impaired

y impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired
Deaf or hearing impaired

y impaired

y impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

y impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

y impaired

y impaired

Blind or visually impaired

Deaf or hearing impaired

